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Parallelism means doing multiple things at the same time: you can get more work done in the same amount of time.

Less fish …

More fish!
Instruction-Level Parallelism (ILP) is a set of techniques for executing multiple instructions at the same time within the same CPU.

The problem: the CPU has lots of circuitry, and at any given time, most of it is idle.

The solution: have different parts of the CPU work on different operations at the same time – if the CPU has the ability to work on 10 operations at a time, then the program can run as much as 10 times as fast (although in practice, not quite so much).
Kinds of ILP

- **Superscalar**: perform multiple operations at the same time (e.g., simultaneously perform an add, a multiply and a load)
- **Pipeline**: start performing an operation on one piece of data while finishing the same operation on another piece of data – perform different stages of the same operation on different sets of operands at the same time (like an assembly line)
- **Superpipeline**: combination of superscalar and pipelining – perform multiple pipelined operations at the same time
- **Vector**: load multiple pieces of data into special registers and perform the same operation on all of them at the same time
What’s an Instruction?

- Memory: e.g., load a value from a specific address in main memory into a specific register, or store a value from a specific register into a specific address in main memory
- Arithmetic: add two specific registers together and put their sum in a specific register – or subtract, multiply, divide, square root, etc
- Logical: determine whether two registers both contain nonzero values ("AND")
- Branch: jump from one sequence of instructions to another
- … and so on
What’s a Cycle?

You’ve heard people talk about having a 500 MHz processor or a 1 GHz processor or whatever. (For example, Henry’s laptop has a 1.6 GHz Pentium4.)

Inside every CPU is a little clock that ticks with a fixed frequency. We call each tick of the CPU clock a **clock cycle** or a **cycle**.

Typically, a primitive operation (e.g., add, multiply, divide) takes a fixed number of cycles to execute (assuming no pipelining).
Cycle Examples

- **IBM POWER4** [1]
  - Multiply or add: 6 cycles (64 bit floating point)
  - Load: 4 cycles from L1 cache
  - 14 cycles from L2 cache

- **Intel Pentium4** [2]
  - Multiply: 7 cycles (64 bit floating point)
  - Add, subtract: 5 cycles (64 bit floating point)
  - Divide, square root: 38 cycles (64 bit floating point)
  - Tangent: 225-250 cycles (64 bit floating point)
Scalar Operation
DON’T PANIC!
Scalar Operation

\[ z = a \times b + c \times d \]

How would this statement be executed?

1. Load \( a \) into register \( R0 \)
2. Load \( b \) into \( R1 \)
3. Multiply \( R2 = R0 \times R1 \)
4. Load \( c \) into \( R3 \)
5. Load \( d \) into \( R4 \)
6. Multiply \( R5 = R3 \times R4 \)
7. Add \( R6 = R2 + R5 \)
8. Store \( R6 \) into \( z \)
Does Order Matter?

\[ z = a \times b + c \times d \]

1. Load **a** into R0
2. Load **b** into R1
3. Multiply \( R2 = R0 \times R1 \)
4. Load **c** into R3
5. Load **d** into R4
6. Multiply \( R5 = R3 \times R4 \)
7. Add \( R6 = R2 + R5 \)
8. Store \( R6 \) into \( z \)

1. Load **d** into R4
2. Load **c** into R3
3. Multiply \( R5 = R3 \times R4 \)
4. Load **a** into R0
5. Load **b** into R1
6. Multiply \( R2 = R0 \times R1 \)
7. Add \( R6 = R2 + R5 \)
8. Store \( R6 \) into \( z \)

In the cases where order doesn’t matter, we say that the operations are **independent** of one another.
Superscalar Operation

\[ z = a \times b + c \times d \]

1. Load \( a \) into \( R0 \) AND load \( b \) into \( R1 \)
2. Multiply \( R2 = R0 \times R1 \) AND load \( c \) into \( R3 \) AND load \( d \) into \( R4 \)
3. Multiply \( R5 = R3 \times R4 \)
4. Add \( R6 = R2 + R5 \)
5. Store \( R6 \) into \( z \)

So, we go from 8 operations down to 5.
(Note: there are lots of simplifying assumptions here.)
Loops
Loops Are Good

Most compilers are very good at optimizing loops, and not very good at optimizing other constructs.

```fortran
DO index = 1, length
    dst(index) = src1(index) + src2(index)
END DO ! index = 1, length
```

Why?
Loops are very common in many programs. Also, it’s easier to optimize loops than more arbitrary sequences of instructions: when a program does the same thing over and over, it’s easier to predict what’s likely to happen next.

So, hardware vendors have designed their products to be able to execute loops quickly.
DON’T PANIC!
Superscalar Loops

DO i = 1, n
   z(i) = a(i)*b(i) + c(i)*d(i)
END DO !! i = 1, n

Each of the iterations is completely independent of all of the other iterations; e.g.,

\[ z(1) = a(1) \times b(1) + c(1) \times d(1) \]

has nothing to do with

\[ z(2) = a(2) \times b(2) + c(2) \times d(2) \]

Operations that are independent of each other can be performed in parallel.
Superscalar Loops

for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    z[i] = a[i]*b[i] + c[i]*d[i];
} /* for i */

1. Load a[i] into R0 AND load b[i] into R1
2. Multiply R2 = R0 * R1 AND load c[i] into R3 AND load d[i] into R4
3. Multiply R5 = R3 * R4 AND load a[i+1] into R0 AND load b[i+1] into R1
4. Add R6 = R2 + R5 AND load c[i+1] into R3 AND load d[i+1] into R4
5. Store R6 into z[i] AND multiply R2 = R0 * R1
6. etc etc etc

Once this loop is “in flight,” each iteration adds only 2 operations to the total, not 8.
Example: IBM POWER4

8-way Superscalar: can execute up to 8 operations at the same time\[1\]

- 2 integer arithmetic or logical operations, and
- 2 floating point arithmetic operations, and
- 2 memory access (load or store) operations, and
- 1 branch operation, and
- 1 conditional operation
Pipelining
Pipelining

Pipelining is like an assembly line or a bucket brigade.

- An operation consists of multiple stages.
- After a particular set of operands
  \[ z(i) = a(i) \times b(i) + c(i) \times d(i) \]
  completes a particular stage, they move into the next stage.
- Then, another set of operands
  \[ z(i+1) = a(i+1) \times b(i+1) + c(i+1) \times d(i+1) \]
can move into the stage that was just abandoned by the previous set.
DON’T PANIC!
### Pipelining Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (t)</th>
<th>Instruction Fetch</th>
<th>Instruction Decode</th>
<th>Operand Fetch</th>
<th>Instruction Execution</th>
<th>Result Writeback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t = 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t = 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t = 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t = 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t = 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t = 5</td>
<td>i = 1</td>
<td>DON’T PANIC!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t = 6</td>
<td>i = 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t = 7</td>
<td>i = 3</td>
<td>DON’T PANIC!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i = 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Computation time

If each stage takes, say, one CPU cycle, then once the loop gets going, each iteration of the loop only increases the total time by one cycle. So a loop of length 1000 takes only 1004 cycles. [3]
Pipelines: Example

- IBM POWER4: pipeline length ≅ 15 stages [1]
Some Simple Loops

DO index = 1, length
    dst(index) = src1(index) + src2(index)
END DO !! index = 1, length

DO index = 1, length
    dst(index) = src1(index) - src2(index)
END DO !! index = 1, length

DO index = 1, length
    dst(index) = src1(index) * src2(index)
END DO !! index = 1, length

DO index = 1, length
    dst(index) = src1(index) / src2(index)
END DO !! index = 1, length

DO index = 1, length
    sum = sum + src(index)
END DO !! index = 1, length

Reduction: convert array to scalar
Slightly Less Simple Loops

DO index = 1, length
  dst(index) = src1(index) ** src2(index)
END DO  ! index = 1, length

DO index = 1, length
  dst(index) = MOD(src1(index), src2(index))
END DO  ! index = 1, length

DO index = 1, length
  dst(index) = SQRT(src(index))
END DO  ! index = 1, length

DO index = 1, length
  dst(index) = COS(src(index))
END DO  ! index = 1, length

DO index = 1, length
  dst(index) = EXP(src(index))
END DO  ! index = 1, length

DO index = 1, length
  dst(index) = LOG(src(index))
END DO  ! index = 1, length
Loop Performance
Performance Characteristics

- Different operations take different amounts of time.
- Different processors types have different performance characteristics, but there are some characteristics that many platforms have in common.
- Different compilers, even on the same hardware, perform differently.
- On some processors, floating point and integer speeds are similar, while on others they differ.
Arithmetic Operation Speeds

Ordered Arithmetic Operations

Type/Operation

MFLOPS

radd  iadd  rsun  isum  rsb  isub  rmul  imul  rdiv  idiv  rpow  imod  rsqrt  rcos  rexp  rlog  i2r  r2i

CrayJ90  Pentium3 NAG  Pentium3 Vast  SGI Origin2000
Fast and Slow Operations

- Fast: sum, add, subtract, multiply
- Medium: divide, mod (i.e., remainder)
- Slow: transcendental functions (sqrt, sin, exp)
- Incredibly slow: power $x^y$ for real $x$ and $y$

On most platforms, divide, mod and transcendental functions are not pipelined, so your code will run faster if most of it is just adds, subtracts and multiplies (e.g., solving systems of linear equations by LU decomposition).
What Can Prevent Pipelining?

Certain events make it very hard (maybe even impossible) for compilers to pipeline a loop, such as:

- array elements accessed in random order
- loop body too complicated
- \texttt{IF} statements inside the loop (on some platforms)
- premature loop exits
- function/subroutine calls
- I/O
How Do They Kill Pipelining?

- **Random access order**: ordered array access is common, so pipelining hardware and compilers tend to be designed under the assumption that most loops will be ordered. Also, the pipeline will constantly **stall** because data will come from main memory, not cache.

- **Complicated loop body**: the compiler gets too overwhelmed and can’t figure out how to schedule the instructions.
How Do They Kill Pipelining?

- **IF** statements in the loop: on some platforms (but not all), the pipelines need to perform exactly the same operations over and over; **IF** statements make that impossible.

**However**, many CPUs can now perform **speculative execution**: both branches of the **IF** statement are executed while the condition is being evaluated, but only one of the results is retained (the one associated with the condition’s value).

Also, many CPUs can now perform **branch prediction** to head down the most likely compute path.
How Do They Kill Pipelining?

- Function/subroutine calls interrupt the flow of the program even more than IF statements. They can take execution to a completely different part of the program, and pipelines aren’t set up to handle that.
- Loop exits are similar. Most compilers can’t pipeline loops with premature or unpredictable exits.
- I/O: typically, I/O is handled in subroutines (above). Also, I/O instructions can take control of the program away from the CPU (they can give control to I/O devices).
What If No Pipelining?

SLOW!

(on most platforms)
### Randomly Permuted Loops

#### Permuted Arithmetic Operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type/Operation</th>
<th>MFLOPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ordradd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ordiadd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iadd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rsun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rsub</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isub</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rmul</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>imul</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rdiv</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>idiv</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rpow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>imod</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rsqrt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rcos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rexp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rlog</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i2r</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r2i</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Type/Operation Legend**

- **CrayJ90**
- **Pentium3 NAG**
- **Pentium3 Vast**
- **SGI Origin2000**
Superpipelining
Superpipelining is a combination of superscalar and pipelining.

So, a superpipeline is a collection of multiple pipelines that can operate simultaneously.

In other words, several different operations can execute simultaneously, and each of these operations can be broken into stages, each of which is filled all the time.

So you can get multiple operations per CPU cycle. For example, a IBM Power4 can have over 200 different operations “in flight” at the same time.[1]
More Operations At a Time

- If you put more operations into the code for a loop, you’ll get better performance:
  - more operations can execute at a time (use more pipelines), and
  - you get better register/cache reuse.
- On most platforms, there’s a limit to how many operations you can put in a loop to increase performance, but that limit varies among platforms, and can be quite large.
Some Complicated Loops

DO index = 1, length
   dst(index) = src1(index) + 5.0 * src2(index)
END DO !! index = 1, length

dot = 0
DO index = 1, length
   dot = dot + src1(index) * src2(index)
END DO !! index = 1, length

DO index = 1, length
   dst(index) = src1(index) * src2(index) + &
            src3(index) * src4(index)
END DO !! index = 1, length

DO index = 1, length
   diff12 = src1(index) - src2(index)
   diff34 = src3(index) - src4(index)
   dst(index) = SQRT(diff12 * diff12 + diff34 * diff34)
END DO !! index = 1, length

madd (or FMA): mult then add
               (2 ops)

dot product
       (2 ops)

dot product
       (2 ops)

from our example
     (3 ops)

Euclidean distance
        (6 ops)
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A Very Complicated Loop

```fortran
lot = 0.0
DO index = 1, length
   lot = lot +
      &   src1(index) * src2(index) +
      &   src3(index) * src4(index) +
      &   (src1(index) + src2(index)) *
      &   (src3(index) + src4(index)) *
      &   (src1(index) - src2(index)) *
      &   (src3(index) - src4(index)) *
      &   (src1(index) - src3(index) +
      &     src2(index) - src4(index)) *
      &   (src1(index) + src3(index) -
      &     src2(index) + src4(index)) +
      &   (src1(index) * src3(index)) +
      &   (src2(index) * src4(index))
END DO  !! index = 1, length
```

24 arithmetic ops per iteration
4 memory/cache loads per iteration
Multiple Ops Per Iteration

Ordered Arithmetic Operations
(multiple ops per iteration)
Vectors
What Is a Vector?

A **vector** is a collection of registers that act together to perform the same operation on multiple operands. In a sense, vectors are like operation-specific cache.

A **vector register** is a register that’s actually made up of many individual registers.

A **vector instruction** is an instruction that operates on all of the individual registers of a vector register.
Vector Register

\[ v2 = v0 + v1 \]
Vectors were very popular in the 1980s, because they’re very fast, often faster than pipelines. In the 1990s, though, they weren’t very popular. Why? Well, vectors aren’t used by most commercial codes (e.g., MS Word). So most chip makers don’t bother with vectors.

So, if you wanted vectors, you had to pay a lot of extra money for them.

However, with the Pentium III Intel reintroduced very small vectors (2 operations at a time), for integer operations only. The Pentium4 added floating point vector operations, also of size 2.
A Real Example
DO k=2,nz-1
  DO j=2,ny-1
    DO i=2,nx-1
      tem1(i,j,k) = u(i,j,k,2)*(u(i+1,j,k,2)-u(i-1,j,k,2))*dxinv2
      tem2(i,j,k) = v(i,j,k,2)*(u(i,j+1,k,2)-u(i,j-1,k,2))*dyinv2
      tem3(i,j,k) = w(i,j,k,2)*(u(i,j,k+1,2)-u(i,j,k-1,2))*dzinv2
    END DO
  END DO
END DO
DO k=2,nz-1
  DO j=2,ny-1
    DO i=2,nx-1
      u(i,j,k,3) = u(i,j,k,1) - &
      & dtbig2*(tem1(i,j,k)+tem2(i,j,k)+tem3(i,j,k))
    END DO
  END DO
END DO

...
Real Example Performance

Performance By Method

Method

10 loops 5 loops 1 loop 2 loops 2 loops unrolled

MFLOPS

Pentium3 NAG  Pentium3 Vast
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DON’T PANIC!
Why You Shouldn’t Panic

In general, the compiler and the CPU will do most of the heavy lifting for instruction-level parallelism.

BUT:

You need to be aware of ILP, because how your code is structured affects how much ILP the compiler and the CPU can give you.
Next Time

Part IV:
Stupid Compiler Tricks
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